arXiv:1210.6015v1 [math.OA] 22 Oct 2012

The Similarity Degree of Some C*-algebras

Don Hadwin and Weihua Li

Abstract. We define the class of weakly approximately divisible unital C*-algebras and show that this class is closed under direct sums, direct limits, any tensor product with any C*-algebra, and quotients. A nuclear C*-algebra is weakly approximately divisible if and only if it has no finite-dimensional representations. We also show that Pisier's similarity degree of a weakly approximately divisible C*-algebra is at most 5.

1. Introduction

One of the most famous and oldest open problems in the theory of C*-algebras is Kadison's Similarity problem [**12**], which asks whether every bounded unital homomorphism ρ from a C*-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ into the algebra $B(H)$ of operators on a Hilbert space H must be similar to a $*$-homomorphism, i.e., does there exist an invertible $S \in B(H)$ such that $\pi(A) = S\rho(A)S^{-1}$ defines a $*$-homomorphism? One measure of the quality of a good problem is the number of interesting equivalent formulations. In this regard Kadison's problem gets high marks:

I. Inner Derivation Problem: ([**13**], [**3**]) If $\mathcal{M} \subseteq B(H)$ is a von Neumann algebra and $\delta : \mathcal{M} \to B(H)$ is a derivation, does there exist a $T \in B(H)$ such that, for every $A \in \mathcal{M}$,

$$\delta(A) = AT - TA?$$

II. Hyperreflexivity Problem: ([**13**], [**3**]) If $\mathcal{M} \subseteq B(H)$ is a von Neumann algebra, does there exist a K, $1 \le K < \infty$ such that, for every $T \in B(H)$,

$$dist(T, \mathcal{M}) \le K \sup\left\{\|PT - TP\| : P \in \mathcal{M}',\ P = P^* = P^2\right\}.$$

III. Dixmier's Invariant Operator Range Problem [**6**] (Foiaş [**7**], Pisier [**21**, Theorem 10.5], see also [**10**]): If $\mathcal{M} \subseteq B(H)$ is a von Neumann algebra, $A \in B(H)$ and $T(A(H)) \subseteq A(H)$ for every $T \in \mathcal{M}$, then does there exist $D \in \mathcal{M}'$ such that $A(H) = D(H)$? Vern Paulsen [**17**] proved that an affirmative answer is equivalent to the assertion that the range of $A \oplus A \oplus \cdots$ is invariant for $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{K}(\ell^2)$.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46L05; Secondary 46A22, 46H25, 46M10, 47A20.

Key words and phrases. Kadison Similarity Problem, similarity degree, tracially nuclear, C*-algebra.

In [**8**] U. Haagerup proved that Kadison's question has an affirmative answer whenever the representation ρ has a cyclic vector, a result that is independent of the structure of the algebra $\mathcal{A}$. Haagerup [**8**] also showed that a homomorphism ρ is similar to a $*$-homomorphism if and only if ρ is completely bounded (see also [**4**]; see the union of [**9**] and [**26**] for another proof; see [**16**] and [**17**] for a lovely exposition of these ideas). In [**18**] G. Pisier proved that, for a fixed C*-algebra $\mathcal{A}$, every bounded homomorphism of $\mathcal{A}$ is similar to a $*$-homomorphism if and only if $\mathcal{A}$ satisfies a certain factorization property. It was shown in [**10**] that Kadison's similarity property is universally true if and only if there is a Pisier-like factorization in terms of scalar matrices and noncommutative polynomials that is independent of the C*-algebra. It was also shown in [**10**] that if $H = \ell^2 \oplus \ell^2 \oplus \cdots$ and $D = 1 \oplus \frac{1}{2} \oplus \frac{1}{2^2} \oplus \cdots$ and $\mathcal{S}$ is the unital algebra of all operators $T \in B(H)$ with an operator matrix $T = (A_{ij})$ such that $\rho(T) = D^{-1}TD = \left(2^{j-i}A_{ij}\right)$ is bounded, then Kadison's similarity problem has an affirmative answer if and only if, for every unital C*-subalgebra $\mathcal{A}$ of $\mathcal{S}$, the homomorphism $\rho|_{\mathcal{A}}$ is similar to a $*$-homomorphism.

Our main focus in this paper is another amazing result of G. Pisier [**18**] where he shows that, for a unital C*-algebra $\mathcal{A}$, Kadison's similarity property holds for $\mathcal{A}$ if and only if there is a positive number d for which there is a positive number K such that

$$\|\rho\|_{cb} \leq K \|\rho\|^d$$

for every bounded unital homomorphism ρ on $\mathcal{A}$. Pisier proved that the smallest such d is an integer which he calls the *similarity degree* $d(\mathcal{A})$ of $\mathcal{A}$. Here are a few results on the similarity degree:

1. $\mathcal{A}$ is nuclear if and only if $d(\mathcal{A}) = 2$ ([**2**], [**3**], [**22**]);
2. if $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, then $d(\mathcal{A}) = 3$ ([**20**]);
3. $d(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})) \leq 3$ for any C*-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ ([**8**], [**19**]);
4. if $\mathcal{M}$ is a factor of type II_1 with property Γ, then $d(\mathcal{M}) = 3$ ([**5**]);
5. if $\mathcal{A}$ is an approximately divisible C*-algebra, then $d(\mathcal{A}) \leq 5$ [**14**].
6. if $\mathcal{A}$ is nuclear and contains unital matrix algebras of any order, then $d(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}) \leq 5$ for any unital C*-algebra $\mathcal{B}$ ([**23**]);
7. if $\mathcal{A}$ is nuclear and contains finite-dimensional C*-subalgebras of arbitrarily large subrank (see the definition below), then $d(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}) \leq 5$ for any unital C*-algebra $\mathcal{B}$ [**14**];
8. if $\mathcal{A}$ is nuclear and contains homomorphic images of certain dimension-drop C*-algebras $\mathcal{Z}_{p,q}$ for all relatively prime integers p, q (e.g., $\mathcal{A}$ contains a copy of the JiangSu algebra), then $d(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}) \leq 5$ for any unital C*-algebra $\mathcal{B}$ [**11**].

In this paper we define the class of weakly approximately divisible C*-algebras and show that this class is closed under unital $*$-homomorphisms, arbitrary tensor products and direct limits. We also define the class of tracially nuclear C*-algebras that properly contains the class of nuclear C*-algebras, and we show that a tracially nuclear C*-algebra is weakly approximately divisible if and only if it has no finite-dimensional representations. We prove that if $\mathcal{A}$ is weakly approximately divisible, then $d(\mathcal{A}) \leq 5$. We extend the results 6-8 above to the case when $\mathcal{A}$ is tracially nuclear and has no finite-dimensional representations, and the tensor product is with respect to any C*-crossnorm.

2. Weakly approximately divisible algebras

If τ is a tracial state on $\mathcal{M}$, we let $\|\cdot\|_\tau$ denote the seminorm on $\mathcal{M}$ defined in the GNS construction by

$$\|a\|_\tau^2 = \tau(a^*a).$$

Suppose $\mathcal{B}$ is a finite-dimensional unital C*-subalgebra of a unital C*-algebra $\mathcal{A}$. First we know that $\mathcal{B}$ is $*$-isomorphic to $\mathcal{M}_{k_1}(\mathbb{C}) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{M}_{k_m}(\mathbb{C})$ and the *subrank*$(\mathcal{B})$ is defined to be $\min(k_1, \ldots, k_m)$. Note that if $\pi : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{D}$ is a unital $*$-homomorphism, then

$$\textit{subrank}(\mathcal{B}) \leq \textit{subrank}(\pi(\mathcal{B})).$$

If $P_1 = 1 \oplus 0 \oplus \cdots \oplus 0$, $P_2 = 0 \oplus 1 \oplus 0 \oplus \cdots \oplus 0, \ldots, P_m = 0 \oplus \cdots \oplus 1$ are the minimal central projections of $\mathcal{B}$, then, for $1 \leq s \leq m$, we have $P_s \mathcal{A} P_s$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{M}_{k_s}(\mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathcal{A}_s = \mathcal{M}_{k_s}(\mathcal{A}_s)$ for some algebra $\mathcal{A}_s$. The relative commutant of $\mathcal{M}_{k_s}(\mathbb{C})$ in $\mathcal{M}_{k_s}(\mathcal{A}_s)$ is

$$\mathcal{D}_s = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & & & \\ & A & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & A \end{pmatrix} : A \in \mathcal{A}_s \right\},$$

and the relative commutant of $\mathcal{B}$ in $\mathcal{A}$ is $\mathcal{D}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{D}_m$. Suppose $T \in \mathcal{A}$, and $P_s T P_s = (a_{ijs})_{1 \leq i,j \leq k_s}$. Let $D_s = diag(c, \ldots, c)$ where $c = \frac{1}{k_s}(a_{11s} + \cdots + a_{k_s k_s s})$. The map $E_{\mathcal{B}} : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}' \cap \mathcal{A}$ sending T to $D_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus D_m$ is called the conditional expectation from $\mathcal{A}$ to $\mathcal{B}' \cap \mathcal{A}$ and is a completely positive unital idempotent. For $1 \leq s \leq m$, let $\mathcal{G}_s$ be the group of all matrices in $\mathcal{M}_{k_s}(\mathbb{C})$ suct that the only one nonzero entry in each row and each column is 1 or -1, and let $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{G}_m \subseteq \mathcal{B}$. Then we have

$$E_{\mathcal{B}}(T) = \frac{1}{Card\mathcal{G}} \sum_{U \in \mathcal{G}} UTU^*. \tag{($*$)}$$

Moreover, if $S \in \mathcal{B}' \cap \mathcal{A}$ and $T \in \mathcal{A}$, then

$$E_{\mathcal{B}}(ST) = SE_{\mathcal{B}}(T) \text{ and } E_{\mathcal{B}}(TS) = E_{\mathcal{B}}(T)S.$$

Furthermore, if τ is a tracial state on $\mathcal{A}$, then, for every $A \in \mathcal{A}$,

$$\|E_{\mathcal{B}}(A)\|_\tau \leq \|A\|_\tau.$$

Suppose $\mathcal{M}$ is a von Neumann algebra and $\{v_i : i \in I\} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ is a family satisfying $\sum_{i \in I} v_i^* v_i = 1$ (convergence is in the weak*-topology). Then $\varphi(T) = \sum_{i \in I} v_i^* T v_i$ defines a unital completely positive map from $\mathcal{M}$ to $\mathcal{M}$. Let us call such a map *internally spatial*, and call a unital completely positive map *internal* if it is a convex combination of internally spatial maps on $\mathcal{M}$.

Remark 1. *There are two key properties of internal maps:*

1. *They can be pushed forward through normal unital $*$-homomorphisms between von Neumann algebras. Suppose $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ are von Neumann algebras and $\rho : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{N}$ is a unital weak*-weak*-continuous unital $*$-homomorphism, and suppose $\{v_i : i \in I\} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ with $\sum_{i \in I} v_i^* v_i = 1$ and*

$\varphi(T) = \sum_{i\in I} v_i^* T v_i$. Then $\{\pi(v_i) : i \in I\} \subseteq \mathcal{N}$ and

$$1 = \pi(1) = \pi\left(\sum_{i\in I} v_i^* v_i\right) = \sum_{i\in I} \pi(v_i)^* \pi(v_i).$$

We define $\varphi^\pi(S) = \sum_{i\in I} \pi(v_i)^* S \pi(v_i)$, and we have, for every $a \in \mathcal{M}$

$$\varphi^\pi(\pi(a)) = \pi(\varphi(a)).$$

So if $b \in \pi(\mathcal{A})$ and $b = \pi(a)$, then $\varphi^\pi(b) = \pi(\varphi(a))$, which independent of a. For a general φ this only makes sense when $\varphi(\ker \pi) \subseteq \ker \pi$. It follows that φ^π makes sense when φ is an internal map, and in this case, φ^π is an internal map on $\mathcal{N}$.

(2) *If $\varphi(T) = \sum_{i\in I} v_i^* T v_i$ and T commutes with each v_i, then, for every S, we have*

$$\varphi(ST) = \varphi(S)T.$$

Hence if ψ is a convex combination of spatially internal maps defined in terms of elements commuting with an operator T, we have $\psi(ST) = \psi(S)T$.

Definition 1. *We say that a unital C*-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is* weakly approximately divisible *if and only if, for every finite subset $\mathcal{F}$ of $\mathcal{A}$ there is a net $\{(\mathcal{B}_\lambda, \varphi_\lambda)\}_{\lambda\in\Lambda}$ where each $\mathcal{B}_\lambda$ is a finite-dimensional unital C*-subalgebra of $\mathcal{A}^{\#\#}$ and φ_λ is an internal completely positive map such that*

1. $\lim_\lambda \mathit{subrank}(\mathcal{B}_\lambda) = \infty$,
2. $\varphi_\lambda : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}_\lambda' \cap \mathcal{A}^{\#\#}$,
3. *For every $a \in \mathcal{F}$, $\varphi_\lambda(a) \to a$ in the weak*-topology on $\mathcal{A}^{\#\#}$.*

Remark 2. *Suppose n is a positive integer and let $\mathcal{V}_n$ be the set of n-tuples $(a_1, \ldots, a_n)$ of elements in $\mathcal{A}$ such that the conditions in Definition 1 hold when $\mathcal{F}=\{a_1, \ldots, a_n\}$. Suppose U_k is a weak*-neighborhood of a_k in $\mathcal{A}^{\#\#}$ for $1 \le k \le n$. Since addition on $\mathcal{A}^{\#\#}$ is weak*-continuous, there is a weak*-neighborhood V_k of a_k and a weak*-neighborhood E of 0 such that*

$$V_k + E \subseteq U_k$$

for $1 \le k \le n$. Suppose $(b_1, \ldots, b_n)$ is in the norm closure of $\mathcal{V}_n$ and suppose U_k is a weak-neighborhood of b_k in $\mathcal{A}^{\#\#}$ for $1 \le k \le n$. Since addition on $\mathcal{A}^{\#\#}$ is weak*-continuous, there is a weak*-neighborhood V_k of b_k and a weak*-neighborhood E of 0 such that*

$$V_k + E \subseteq U_k$$

for $1 \le k \le n$. Since $0 \in E$ and E is weak-open, there is an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\left\{x \in \mathcal{A}^{\#\#} : \|x\| < \varepsilon\right\} \subseteq E$. Now choose $(a_1, \ldots, a_n) \in \mathcal{V}_n$ so that $a_k \in V_k$ and $\|a_k - b_k\| < \varepsilon$ for $1 \le k \le n$. Next suppose m is a positive integer. It follows from the definition of $\mathcal{V}_n$ that there is a finite-dimensional C*-subalgebra $\mathcal{B}$ of $\mathcal{A}^{\#\#}$ and a completely positive unital map $\varphi : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}' \cap \mathcal{A}^{\#\#}$ such that subrank$(\mathcal{B}) \ge m$ and such that $\varphi(a_k) \in V_k$ for $1 \le k \le n$. It follows that $\varphi(b_k) - \varphi(a_k) = \varphi(b_k - a_k) \in E$ for $1 \le k \le n$, so*

$$\varphi(b_k) \in V_k + E \subseteq U_k$$

for $1 \le k \le n$. Hence $(b_1, \ldots, b_n) \in \mathcal{V}_n$. Thus $\mathcal{V}_n$ is norm closed. It is also clear that $\mathcal{V}_n$ is a linear space. Hence, to verify that $\mathcal{A}$ is weakly approximately divisible, *it is sufficient to show that the conditions of Definition 1 holds for all finite subsets $\mathcal{F}$ of a set W whose norm closed linear span $\overline{sp}(W)$ is $\mathcal{A}$.*

Recall [**25**] that a C*-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is *nuclear* if, for every Hilbert space H and every unital $*$-homomorphism $\pi : \mathcal{A} \to B(H)$ we have $\pi(\mathcal{A})''$ is a hyperfinite von Neumann algebra. We say that $\mathcal{A}$ is *tracially nuclear* if, for every tracial state τ on $\mathcal{A}$ with GNS representation π_τ we have $\pi_\tau(\mathcal{A})''$ is a hyperfinite von Neumann algebra. As a flip side of the notion of RFD C*-algebras, we say that a unital C*-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is *NFD* if $\mathcal{A}$ has no unital finite-dimensional representations.

THEOREM 1. *Suppose $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ are unital C*-algebras. Then*

(1) *If $\mathcal{A}$ is approximately divisible, then $\mathcal{A}$ is weakly approximately divisible.*
(2) *If $\mathcal{A}$ is weakly approximately divisible and $\pi : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{D}$ is a surjective unital $*$-homomorphism, then $\mathcal{D}$ is weakly approximately divisible.*
(3) *If $\mathcal{A}$ is weakly approximately divisible, then $\mathcal{A}$ has no finite-dimensional representations.*
(4) *If $\mathcal{A}$ is weakly approximately divisible, then $\mathcal{A} \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{D}$ is weakly approximately divisible.*
(5) *A finite direct sum $\sum_{1\le k\le n}^{\oplus} \mathcal{A}_k$ of unital C*-algebras is weakly approximately divisible if and only if each summand $\mathcal{A}_k$ is weakly approximately divisible.*
(6) *If n is a positive integer, then $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ is weakly approximately divisible if and only if $\mathcal{A}$ is.*
(7) *A direct limit of weakly approximately divisible C*-algebras is weakly approximately divisible.*
(8) *If $\mathcal{A}$ is an NFD C*-algebra and $\mathcal{M}$ is the type II_1 direct summand of $\mathcal{A}^{\#\#}$ and $\gamma : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{M}$ is the inclusion into $\mathcal{A}^{\#\#}$ followed by the projection map, then $\mathcal{A}$ is weakly approximately divisible if and only if, for every finite subset $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ there is a net $\{(\mathcal{B}_\lambda, \varphi_\lambda)\}$ where $\mathcal{B}_\lambda$ is a finite-dimensional C*-subalgebra of $\mathcal{M}$, φ_λ is an internal map on $\mathcal{M}$ and*
$$\varphi_\lambda(\pi(a)) \to \gamma(a)$$
in the weak-topology for every $a \in \mathcal{F}$.*
(9) *If $\mathcal{A}$ is tracially nuclear, then $\mathcal{A}$ is weakly approximately divisible if and only if $\mathcal{A}$ is NFD.*
(10) *If $\mathcal{A}$ is nuclear, then $\mathcal{A}$ is weakly approximately divisible if and only if $\mathcal{A}$ is NFD.*

PROOF. (1). This follows immediately from the definitions.

(2). If $\pi : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{D}$ is a surjective unital $*$-homomorphism, then π extends to a weak*-weak*-continuous surjective unital $*$-homomorphism $\rho : \mathcal{A}^{\#\#} \to \mathcal{D}^{\#\#}$. Given $d_1, \ldots, d_n \in \mathcal{D}$, choose $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in \mathcal{A}$ so that $\pi(a_k) = d_k$ for $1 \le k \le n$. Choose a net $\{(\mathcal{B}_\lambda, \varphi_\lambda)\}$ according to Definition 1 with $\mathcal{F} = \{a_1, \ldots, a_n\}$. It follows that φ_λ^ρ is an internal completely positive map on $\mathcal{D}^{\#\#}$ and
$$\varphi_\lambda^\rho(\mathcal{D}) = \varphi_\lambda^\rho(\rho(\mathcal{A})) = \rho(\varphi_\lambda(\mathcal{A})) \subseteq$$
$$\rho\left(\mathcal{B}_\lambda' \cap \mathcal{A}^{\#\#}\right) \subseteq \rho(\mathcal{B}_\lambda)' \cap \mathcal{D}^{\#\#}.$$
Further for each d_k we have
$$\text{w*-}\lim_\lambda \varphi_\lambda^\rho(d_k) = \text{w*-}\lim_\lambda \rho(\varphi_\lambda(a_k)) = \rho(a_k) = d_k,$$

since ρ is weak*-weak*-continuous. Since subrank$(\mathcal{B}_\lambda)$ $\leq$subrank$(\rho\left(\mathcal{B}_\lambda\right))$, we conclude that $\mathcal{D}$ is weakly approximately divisible.

(3). This follows from (2) and the obvious fact that no finite-dimensional C*-algebra is weakly approximately divisible.

(4). Let $\rho : \mathcal{A}\otimes_{\max}\mathcal{D} \to \left(\mathcal{A}\otimes_{\max}\mathcal{D}\right)^{\#\#}$ be the natural inclusion map. We can assume $\left(\mathcal{A}\otimes_{\max}\mathcal{D}\right)^{\#\#} \subseteq B\left(H\right)$ for some Hilbert space H so that, on bounded subsets of $\left(\mathcal{A}\otimes_{\max}\mathcal{D}\right)^{\#\#}$, the weak*-topology coincides with the weak-operator topology. If $\rho : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}\otimes 1 \subseteq \mathcal{A}\otimes_{\max}\mathcal{D}$ is the inclusion map, then there is a weak*-weak*-continuous unital $*$-homomorphism $\sigma : \mathcal{A}^{\#\#} \to \left(\mathcal{A}\otimes_{\max}\mathcal{D}\right)^{\#\#}$ such that the restriction of σ to $\mathcal{A}$ is ρ. Let $W = \{a\otimes b : a\in\mathcal{A}, b\in\mathcal{B}\}$. Clearly, $\overline{sp}W = \mathcal{A}\otimes_{\max}\mathcal{B}$ (where the closure is with respect to $\|\|_{\max}$). Suppose $a_1\otimes b_1,\ldots,a_n\otimes b_n\in W$. Since $\mathcal{A}$ is weakly approximately divisible, we can choose a net $\{(\mathcal{B}_\lambda,\varphi_\lambda)\}$ as in Definition 1. We know that $\{\varphi_\lambda^\sigma\}$ is a net of internal maps on $\left(\mathcal{A}\otimes_{\max}\mathcal{D}\right)^{\#\#}$ and

$$\varphi_\lambda^\sigma\left(a_k\otimes 1\right) = \varphi_\lambda^\sigma\left(\sigma\left(a_k\right)\right) = \sigma\left(\varphi_\lambda\left(a_k\right)\right) \to \sigma\left(a_k\right) = a_k\otimes 1$$

in the weak*-topology for $1\leq k\leq n$. On the other hand each φ_λ is a convex combination of spatially internal maps defined by partial isometries in $\mathcal{A}^{\#\#}$, so each φ_λ^σ is a convex combination of spatially internal maps defined by partial isometries in $\sigma\left(\mathcal{A}^{\#\#}\right)$ which is contained in $\left(\mathcal{A}\otimes_{\max}\mathcal{D}\right)^{\#\#}\cap\left(1\otimes\mathcal{D}\right)^{\prime}$. Hence, for every $S\in\left(\mathcal{A}\otimes_{\max}\mathcal{D}\right)^{\#\#}$ and every $d\in\mathcal{D}$, we have

$$\varphi_\lambda^\sigma\left(S\left(1\otimes d\right)\right) = \varphi_\lambda^\sigma\left(S\right)\left(1\otimes d\right).$$

Hence, for $1\leq k\leq n$

$$\varphi_\lambda^\sigma\left(a_k\otimes d_k\right) = \varphi_\lambda^\sigma\left(\left(a_k\otimes 1\right)\left(1\otimes d_k\right)\right) = \varphi_\lambda^\sigma\left(a_k\otimes 1\right)\left(1\otimes d_k\right).$$

But $\varphi_\lambda^\sigma\left(a_k\otimes 1\right)\to a_k\otimes 1$ in the weak*-topology. Hence

$$\varphi_\lambda^\sigma\left(a_k\otimes d_k\right)\to a_k\otimes d_k$$

in the weak*-topology on $\left(\mathcal{A}\otimes_{\max}\mathcal{B}\right)^{\#\#}$ for $1\leq k\leq n$. Since, for every λ,

$$\text{subrank}\left(\mathcal{B}_\lambda\right)\leq\text{subrank}\left(\sigma\left(\mathcal{B}_\lambda\right)\right),$$

we see that $\mathcal{A}\otimes_{\max}\mathcal{B}$ is weakly approximately divisible.

(5). This easily follows from the fact that $\left(\sum_{1\leq k\leq n}^{\oplus}\mathcal{A}_k\right)^{\#\#} = \sum_{1\leq k\leq n}^{\oplus}\mathcal{A}_k^{\#\#}$.

(6). This is clear, since $\left(\mathcal{A}\otimes\mathcal{M}_n\left(\mathbb{C}\right)\right)^{\#\#}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{A}^{\#\#}\otimes\mathcal{M}_n\left(\mathbb{C}\right)$.

(7). Suppose $\{\mathcal{A}_i : i\in I\}$ is an increasingly directed family of C*-subalgebras of $\mathcal{A}$ such that $W = \cup_{i\in I}\mathcal{A}_i$ is dense in $\mathcal{A}$. Suppose $\mathcal{F}\subseteq W$ is finite. Then there is an $i\in I$ such that $\mathcal{F}\subseteq\mathcal{A}_i$. If $\rho : \mathcal{A}_i\to\mathcal{A}$ is the inclusion map, there is a unital weak*-weak*-continuous unital $*$-homomorphism $\sigma : \mathcal{A}_i^{\#\#}\to\mathcal{A}^{\#\#}$ whose restriction to $\mathcal{A}_i$ is ρ. The rest follows as in the proof of (2).

(8). If $\mathcal{A}$ is weakly approximately divisible, then for a finite subset $\mathcal{F}\subseteq\mathcal{A}$ we can find a net $\{(\mathcal{B}_\lambda,\varphi_\lambda)\}$ as in Definition 1 that works in $\mathcal{A}^{\#\#}$, and if we project all of this onto $\mathcal{M}$, we get the desired net. Now suppose $\mathcal{A}$ satisfies the condition in (8). We can write $\mathcal{A}^{\#\#} = \mathcal{M}\oplus\mathcal{N}$, and since $\mathcal{A}$ has no finite-dimensional representations, $\mathcal{N}$ is the direct sum of a type I_∞ algebra, a II_∞ and a type III algebra. In particular this means that there is an orthogonal sequence $\{P_n\}$ of pairwise Murray-von Neumann equivalent projections whose sum is 1. Suppose N is a positive integer, and let $Q_k = \sum_{j=(k-1)N+1}^{kN}P_j$. Then $\{Q_n\}$ is an orthogonal sequence of pairwise equivalent projections whose sum is 1. We can construct a system of matrix units $\{E_{ij}\}_{1\leq i,j<\infty}$ so that $E_{kk}=Q_k$ for all $k\geq 1$. Then every $T\in\mathcal{N}$ has an infinite operator matrix $T=(T_{ij})$. The map

$$\psi_N(T)=diag(T_{11},T_{11},\ldots)=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}E_{j1}TE_{j1}^{*}$$

is spatially internal and, for every T

$$\left(\sum_{k=1}^{N}P_k\right)\psi_N(T)\left(\sum_{k=1}^{N}P_k\right)=\left(\sum_{k=1}^{N}P_k\right)T\left(\sum_{k=1}^{N}P_k\right)\to T$$

in the weak*-topology. Hence $\psi_N(T)\to T$ in the weak*-topology. Moreover, $\mathcal{N}\cap\psi_N(\mathcal{N})'$ contains full matrix algebras of all orders. Next suppose $\mathcal{F}\subseteq\mathcal{A}$ is finite. For each $A\in\mathcal{F}$ we write $A=\gamma(A)\oplus T_A$ relative to $\mathcal{A}^{\#\#}=\mathcal{M}\oplus\mathcal{N}$. Given the net $\{(\mathcal{B}_\lambda,\varphi_\lambda)\}$ in $\mathcal{M}$ based on our assumption on $\mathcal{A}$, we let $N_\lambda=\text{subrank}(\mathcal{B}_\lambda)$ and choose a full $N_\lambda\times N_\lambda$ matrix algebra $\mathcal{C}_\lambda$ in $\mathcal{N}\cap\psi_N(\mathcal{N})'$. Then $\tau_\lambda(S\oplus T)=\varphi_\lambda(S)\oplus\psi_{N_\lambda}(T)$ is an internal map on $\mathcal{A}^{\#\#}$ whose range is in $(\mathcal{B}_\lambda\oplus\mathcal{C}_\lambda)'\cap\mathcal{A}^{\#\#}$ such that

$$\tau_\lambda(A)\to A$$

in the weak*-topology for every $A\in\mathcal{F}$. Hence $\mathcal{A}$ is weakly approximately divisible.

(9). Let $\mathcal{M}$ and γ be as in (8). Let Λ be the set of all triples $\lambda=(\mathcal{F}_\lambda,\mathcal{T}_\lambda,k_\lambda)$ where $\mathcal{F}_\lambda\subseteq\mathcal{A}$ is finite, $\mathcal{T}_\lambda$ is a finite set of normal tracial states on $\mathcal{M}$, and $k_\lambda\in\mathbb{N}$. With the ordering $(\subseteq,\subseteq,\leq)$ we see that Λ is a directed set. If τ is a tracial state on $\mathcal{M}$, we let $\|\cdot\|_\tau$ denote the seminorm on $\mathcal{M}$ defined by

$$\|A\|_\tau=\tau(A^*A)^{1/2}.$$

Suppose $\lambda\in\Lambda$. There is a central projection $P\in\mathcal{M}$ so that $\mathcal{M}=\mathcal{M}_a\oplus\mathcal{M}_s$ ($\mathcal{M}_a=P\mathcal{M}$) and so that $\gamma=\gamma_a\oplus\gamma_s$ and such that $\gamma_a<<\sum_{\tau\in\mathcal{T}_\lambda}^{\oplus}\pi_\tau$ and γ_s disjoint from $\sum_{\tau\in\mathcal{T}_\lambda}^{\oplus}\pi_\tau$. Since, by assumption, $\left(\sum_{\tau\in\mathcal{T}_\lambda}^{\oplus}\pi_\tau\right)(\mathcal{A})''=\mathcal{M}_a$ is hyperfinite. Hence, there is a finite-dimensional unital subalgebra $\mathcal{D}_\lambda$ of $\mathcal{M}_a$ and a contractive map $\eta:\mathcal{F}_\gamma\to\mathcal{D}_\lambda$ such that

$$\max_{\tau\in\mathcal{T}_\lambda,A\in\mathcal{F}_\lambda}\|P\gamma(A)-\eta(A)\|_\tau<\frac{1}{k}.$$

Note that $\|T\|_\tau=\|PT\|_\tau$ for every $T\in\mathcal{M}$ and every $\tau\in\mathcal{T}_\lambda$. The relative commutant $\mathcal{D}_\lambda'\cap\mathcal{M}_a$ is also a II_1 von Neumann algebra, so there are k_λ mutually orthogonal unitarily equivalent projections in $\mathcal{D}_\lambda'\cap\mathcal{M}_a$ whose sum is 1. Hence $\mathcal{D}_\lambda'\cap\mathcal{M}_a$ contains a unital subalgebra $\mathcal{E}_\lambda$ that is isomorphic to $\mathcal{M}_k(\mathbb{C})$. Similarly, $\mathcal{M}_s$ (if it is not 0) is a II_1 von Neumann algebra and contains an isomorphic copy $\mathcal{G}_\lambda$ of $\mathcal{M}_{k_\lambda}(\mathbb{C})$. Then $\mathcal{B}_\lambda=\mathcal{E}_\lambda\oplus\mathcal{G}_\lambda$ is finite-dimensional and *subrank*$(\mathcal{B}_\lambda)=k_\lambda$. Define $\varphi_\lambda=E_{\mathcal{B}_\lambda}$. For every $A\in\mathcal{F}_\lambda$ and $\tau\in\mathcal{T}_\lambda$, we have

$$\|A-\varphi_\lambda(A)\|_\tau=\|PA-P\varphi_\lambda(A)\|_\tau\leq\|PA-\eta(A)\|_\tau+\|\eta(A)-E_{\mathcal{E}_\lambda}(PA)\|_\tau=$$

$$\|PA-\eta(A)\|_\tau+\|E_{\mathcal{E}_\lambda}(\eta(A))-E_{\mathcal{E}_\lambda}(PA)\|_\tau\leq 2\|PA-\eta(A)\|_\tau\leq\frac{2}{k_\lambda}.$$

Clearly,

$$\lim_\lambda subrank(\mathcal{B}_\lambda)=\infty,$$

and, since there are sufficiently many tracial states on $\mathcal{M}$, we have, for every $A \in \mathcal{A}$,

$$\varphi_\lambda(a) \to A$$

in the ultrastrong topology on $\mathcal{M}$. By assumption $\mathcal{A}$ has no finite-dimensional representations, so it follows from (8) that $\mathcal{A}$ is weakly approximately divisible.

(10). This follows immediately from (9) since the nuclearity of $\mathcal{A}$ is equivalent to the hyperfiniteness of $\pi(\mathcal{A})''$ for every representation π of $\mathcal{A}$. $\square$

3. Similarity degree

THEOREM 2. *If $\mathcal{A}$ is weakly approximately divisible, then the similarity degree of $\mathcal{A}$ is at most* 5.

PROOF. Suppose H is a Hilbert space and $\rho : \mathcal{A} \to B(H)$ is a bounded unital homomorphism. Then ρ extends uniquely to a normal homomorphism $\bar{\rho} : \mathcal{A}^{\#\#} \to B(H)$. Suppose $A = (a_{ij}) \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathcal{A})$. Since $\mathcal{A}$ is weakly approximately divisible, we can choose a net $\{(\mathcal{B}_\lambda, \varphi_\lambda)\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ as in Definition 1 corresponding to $\mathcal{F} = \{a_{ij} : 1 \le i, j \le n\}$. We know that

$$\bar{\rho}_n(\varphi_\lambda(a_{ij})) = (\bar{\rho}(\varphi_\lambda(a_{ij}))) \to (\bar{\rho}(a_{ij})) = \rho_n(A),$$

where the convergence is in the weak* topology. Moreover, since φ_λ is completely contractive, we have that

$$\|(\varphi_\lambda(a_{ij}))\| \le \|A\|,$$

so

$$\lim_\lambda \|(\varphi_\lambda(a_{ij}))\| = \|A\|,$$

and

$$\|\rho_n(A)\| \le \limsup_\lambda \|\bar{\rho}_n(\varphi_\lambda(a_{ij}))\|.$$

However, $\varphi_\lambda(a_{ij}) \in \mathcal{B}_\lambda'$ for $1 \le i, j \le n$ and $\lim_\lambda \mathit{subrank}(\mathcal{B}_\lambda) = \infty$. So the remainder of the proof follows from Lemma 3.1 in [**14**]. $\square$

In [**23**] F. Pop proved that if $\mathcal{A}$ is a nuclear C*-algebra containing copies of $\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ for arbitrarily large values of n, then the similarity degree of $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}$ is at most 5 for every unital C*-algebra $\mathcal{B}$. In [**14**] the second author showed that this result remains true if $\mathcal{A}$ is nuclear and contains finite-dimensional algebras with arbitrarily large subrank. It was shown by [**11**] that if $\mathcal{A}$ is nuclear and contains homomorphic images of certain dimension drop C*-algebras $\mathcal{Z}_{p,q}$ for all relatively prime integers p, q (e.g., $\mathcal{A}$ contains a copy of the Jiang-Su algebra), then, for every unital C*-algebra $\mathcal{B}$, the similarity degree of $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}$ is at most 5. The following corollary includes all of these results.

COROLLARY 1. *If $\mathcal{A}$ is a unital tracially nuclear NFD C*-algebra, then, for every unital C*-algebra $\mathcal{B}$, the similarity degree of $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}$ is at most* 5.

References

[1] B. Blackadar, A. Kumjian and M. Rϕrdam, *Approximately central matrix units and the structure of noncommutative tori*, K-theory, 6(1992), 267-284.

[2] J. W. Bunce, *The similarity problem for representations of C^*-algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 81(1981), 409-414.

[3] E. Christensen, *Extensions of derivations II*, Math. Scand. 50(1982), 111-122.

[4] E. Christensen, *On nonselfadjoint representations of C^*-algebras*, Amer. J. Math. 103 (1981) 817–833.

[5] E. Christensen, *Finite von Neumann algebra factors with property* Γ, J. Funct. Anal. 186(2001), 366-380.

[6] J. Dixmier, *Étude sur les variétés et les opérateurs de Julia, avec quelques applications*, Bull. Soc. Math. France 77(1949), 11–101.

[7] C. Foiaş, *Invariant para-closed subspaces*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 21 (1971/72) 887-906.

[8] U. Haagerup, *Solution of the similarity problem for cyclic representations of C^*-algebras*, Ann. Math. 118(1983), 215-240.

[9] D. Hadwin, *Dilations and Hahn decompositions for linear maps*, Canad. J. Math. 33 (1981) 826–839.

[10] D. Hadwin and V. Paulsen, *Two reformulations of Kadison's similarity problem.* J. Operator Theory 55 (2006) 3–16.

[11] M. Johanesová and W. Winter, *The similarity problem for $\mathcal{Z}$-stable C^*-algebras*, Preprint 1104.2067, 2011.

[12] R. Kadison, *On the orthogonalization of operator representations*, Amer. J. Math. 77(1955), 600-622.

[13] E. Kirchberg, *The derivation problem and the similarity problem are equivalent*, J. Operator Theory 36 (1996), no. 1, 59–62

[14] W. Li, *The similarity degree of approximately divisible C^*-algebras*, Preprint, 2012, to appear, Operators and Matrices.

[15] W. Li and J. Shen, *A note on approximately divisible C^*-algebras*, Preprint arXiv 0804.0465.

[16] V. I. Paulsen, *Completely bounded maps and dilations.* Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics Series, 146. Longman Scientific & Technical, Harlow; John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1986.

[17] V. I Paulsen, *Completely bounded maps on C^*-algebras and invariant operator ranges*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 86 (1982) 91-96.

[18] G. Pisier, *The similarity degree of an operator algebra*, Algebra i Analiz 10(1998), 132–186; translation in St. Petersburg Math. J. 10(1999), 103–146.

[19] G. Pisier, *Remarks on the similarity degree of an operator algebra*, Internat. J. Math. 12(2001), 403-414.

[20] G. Pisier, *Similarity problems and length*, Taiwanese J. Math. 5(2001), 1-17.

[21] G. Pisier, *Similarity problems and completely bounded maps*, Second, expanded edition, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1618, Springer-Verlag, Berlin 2001.

[22] G. Pisier, *A similarity degree characterization of nuclear C^*-algebras*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. 42(2006), No. 3, 691-704.

[23] F. Pop, *The similarity problem for tensor products of certain C^*-algebras*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 70(2004), 385-389.

[24] M. Takesaki, *Theory of operator algebras. I*, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1979.

[25] M. Takesaki, (2003), *Nuclear C^*-algebras*, Theory of operator algebras. III, Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences, 127, Berlin, New York: Springer-Verlag, pp. 153–204.

[26] G. Wittstock, *Ein operatorwertiger Hahn-Banach Satz*, J. Funct. Anal. 40 (1981) 127–150.

University of Mew Hampshire
E-mail address: `don@unh.edu`

Current address: Columbia College Chicago
E-mail address: `wli@colum.edu`